

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/886,946 06/21/2001 Gabriel Martinez Martin U 013521-1 4882 7590 03/07/2003 Clifford J. Mass Ladas & Parry **EXAMINER** 26 West 61 Street CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO New York, NY 10023 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2835 DATE MAILED: 03/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	i
Office Action Summary	09/886,946	MARTINEZ MARTIN, GABRIEI	-
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) drill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 J	<u>une 2001</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> Disposition of Claims	·		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	•	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 June 2001 is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•	'ر
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applica	tion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priori and the certified copies of the certifie	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application)	
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office			

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the perforation adapting a spiral or helicoidal shape must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the disclosure must be thoroughly checked for grammatical and typographical errors, and for misdescriptive terms and recitations.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling as of the description of the general shape of the device, does not reasonably provide enablement for energy regenerating feature. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/886,946

Art Unit: 2835

which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The specification does not disclose the "the energy regenerating" feature, does not describe what kind of energy, how that energy is generated, how it is transmitted to the electronic equipment associated with the device and means that are affected by alleged "energy regeneration".

5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well established utility.

The disclosure does not substantiate "the energy regeneration" feature of the device, does not provide scientific basis for the claims.

Claims 1 and 2 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 703-308-5429. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

Page 4 Application/Control Number: 09/886,946 Art Unit: 2835 If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 703-308-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-5115. Par's h. (hervinn

March 4, 2003